Supplementary Table 7. Subgroup comparisons of morphometric measurements and computed tomography HU values for overall unilateral C2 laminae between Goel A and B groups based on the diagnosis of HRVA

| Variable | Goel A group |  |  | Goel B group |  |  | p-value ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of unilateral laminas (A/B) | HRVA (A) | Non-HRVA <br> (B) | No. of unilateral laminas (C/D) | HRVA (C) | Non-HRVA <br> (D) | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | A <br> vs. <br> D | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ |
| Laminar length (cm) | 160/82 | $2.85 \pm 0.43$ | $3.05 \pm 0.40$ | 105/63 | $3.03 \pm 0.34$ | $3.19 \pm 0.29$ | 0.001 | 0.002 | <0.001 | 1.000 | 0.017 | 0.055 |
| Laminar thickness (mm) | 160/82 | $5.06 \pm 1.19$ | $6.01 \pm 1.32$ | 105/63 | $5.29 \pm 1.10$ | $5.33 \pm 1.24$ | <0.001 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 1.000 |
| Laminar angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 160/82 | $49.81 \pm 5.80$ | $50.59 \pm 4.89$ | 105/63 | $48.10 \pm 4.32$ | $48.03 \pm 4.12$ | 1.000 | 0.118 | 0.002 | 0.043 | 0.001 | 1.000 |
| Laminar height (cm) | 71/63 | $1.11 \pm 0.19$ | $1.22 \pm 0.18$ | 51/97 | $1.12 \pm 0.12$ | $1.13 \pm 0.15$ | 0.003 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 1.000 |
| Laminar HU values | 160/82 | $234.50 \pm 135.71$ | $191.74 \pm 78.17$ | 105/63 | $235.61 \pm 125.38$ | $234.87 \pm 121.91$ | >0.05 | >0.05 | $>0.05$ | >0.05 | >0.05 | $>0.05$ |

Values are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.
HRVA, high-riding vertebral artery; HU, Hounsfield unit.
${ }^{\dagger}$ The p-value were obtained by analysis of variance test or Kruskal-Wallis test according to the result of the test for normal distribution. The nominal p-value was adjusted as 0.05 for the multiple comparisons.

Supplementary Table 8. Subgroup comparisons of morphometric measurements and computed tomography HU values for unilateral C2 laminae suitable for screw placement between the control and BI groups based on the diagnosis of atlas occipitalization

| Variable | Control group |  | BI group |  |  | p -value ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of unilateral laminas (A) | Non-Atlas occipitalization <br> (A) | No. of unilateral laminas (B/C) | Atlas occipitalization <br> (B) | Non-Atlas occipitalization <br> (C) | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
| Laminar length (cm) | 353 | $3.17 \pm 0.28$ | 208/93 | $3.01 \pm 0.39$ | $3.13 \pm 0.28$ | <0.001 | 1.000 | < 0.001 |
| Laminar thickness (mm) | 353 | $6.07 \pm 1.00$ | 208/93 | $5.85 \pm 1.00$ | $5.89 \pm 0.88$ | 0.011 | 0.450 | 1.000 |
| Laminar angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 353 | $49.41 \pm 2.93$ | 208/93 | $49.87 \pm 5.15$ | $48.49 \pm 4.45$ | 0.533 | 0.028 | 0.002 |
| Laminar height (cm) | 351 | $1.25 \pm 0.13$ | 121/88 | $1.19 \pm 0.16$ | $1.17 \pm 0.12$ | 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.867 |
| Laminar HU values | 353 | $255.55 \pm 99.49$ | 208/93 | $210.17 \pm 99.39$ | $218.59 \pm 118.73$ | <0.001 | 0.001 | 1.000 |

Values are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.
HU, Hounsfield unit; BI, basilar invagination.
${ }^{\dagger}$ The p -value were obtained by analysis of variance test or Kruskal-Wallis test according to the result of the test for normal distribution. The nominal p-value was adjusted as 0.05 for the multiple comparisons.

